arXiv:1611.02801v1 [math.AC] 9 Nov 2016

The resultants of quadratic binomial complete intersections

T. Harima, Niigata University
Department of Mathematics Education, Niigata, 950-2181 Japan *

A. Wachi, Hokkaido University of Education
Department of Mathematics,
Kushiro, 085-8580 Japan

J. Watanabe, Tokai University
Department of Mathematics, Hiratsuka, 259-1292 Japan

November 10, 2016

Abstract

We compute the resultants for quadratic binomial complete intersections. As an application we show that any quadratic binomial complete intersection can have the set of square-free monomials as a vector space basis if the generators are put in a normal form.

1 Introduction

Consider the homogeneous polynomial in 5 variables of degree 5

$$F = 12vwxyz + t_1 + t_2,$$

where

$$t_1 = -2(p_1v^3yz + p_2vw^3z + p_3vwx^3 + p_4wxy^3 + p_5xyz^3),$$
$$t_2 = p_1p_3v^3x^2 + p_2p_4w^3y^2 + p_3p_5x^3z^2 + p_1p_4v^2y^3 + p_2p_5w^2z^3.$$

The polynomial F, as the Macaulay dual generator of an Artinian Gorenstein algebra in the Macaulay's inverse system, defines a flat family of Gorenstein algebras with Hilbert function (1 5 10 10 5 1). If $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5+1 \neq 0$, then the ideal which F defines is 5 generated, and if $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5+1 = 0$, it is 7 generated. All the members in this family possess the strong Lefscehtz property. This is known from the computation of the 1st and the 2nd Hessians of the form F. On the other hand there exists a similar, but slightly more complicated form G (see §6), involving 5 parameters $p_1, \ldots, p_5$, which has generic Hilbert function (1 5 10 10 5 1), but if $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 1 = 0$ then the Hilbert function reduces to (1 5 5 5 5 1). It seems remarkable that the second Hessian of G is divisible by $(p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5+1)^5$. A striking fact is that it is precisely equal to the resultant of the complete intersection as the generic member of this family.

In this paper we do not pursue the Hessians; instead, we study the resultant for quadratic complete intersections. Generally speaking the resultant of n forms in n variables is a very

*Supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant 15K04812.

complicated polynomial in the coefficients of the forms. The meaning of the resultant is that it does not vanish if and only if the ideal generated by the n forms is a complete intersection. Thus if the n forms are monomials, the resultant is easy to describe.

Through the investigation of the strong Lefschetz property of complete intersections, we found it necessary to acquire the skill to calculate the resultant of complete intersections. The theory of the resultants has a long history, but a method to obtain it is described completely in the book [2]. Generic computation is impossible except in a few cases because of the huge number of variables involved and the high degree of the resultant. Hence, if one wishes to deal with the resultant in an arbitrary number of variables, it is reasonable to restrict the attention to a special class of homogeneous polynomials.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the resultant of quadratic binomials in n variables. We restricted our attention only to quadratic forms. It is because, though it seems needless to say, the degree-two condition makes the computation simple. However, in addition to it, we can expect that a lot of information can be obtained from the knowledge of quadratic complete intersections. Indeed the authors [6] showed that a certain family of complete intersections is obtained as subrings of quadratic complete intersections. In addition, McDaniel [4] showed that many complete intersections could be embedded in quadratic complete intersections. We can expect, from the manner their results were proved, a vast amount of complete intersections to be obtained as subrings of quadratic complete intersections sharing the socle and general linear elements.

Suppose that $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is an ideal generated by n homogeneous polynomials of the same degree λ in the polynomial ring R. Then I is a complete intersection if and only if $I_{nd-n+1} = R_{n\lambda-n-d+1}I_d$ is the whole homogeneous space $R_{n\lambda-n+1}$. With the aid of an idea of Gelfand et. al [2], it is possible to pick up certain polynomials from among the elements in $I_{\lambda n-n+1}$ such that their span is the whole space. We apply their method to the particular situation where the generators are quadratic binomials. It turns out that the same method can be used to obtain the conditions which guarantees that, for smaller values of λ, the elements in I_λ generate the subspace of R_λ complementary to the space spanned by the square-free monomials. Thus our computation unexpectedly gives us a proof that the complete intersections defined by quadratic binomials can have square free monomials as a vector space basis. We state it as Theorem 3.2. This follows from Theorem 4.3, which is the main result of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we show that any n-dimensional quadratic space can have a normal form. This is an elementary observation but it seems that it has never been used systematically before. It reduces the amount of the computation of the resultant considerably even in the generic case. In §3 we investigate some properties of the determinants of square matrices of the "binomial type", which we need in the sequel. In §4, we introduce matrices consisting of rows as coefficients of the polynomials in the ideal I_λ. Then we apply the result of §2 to finding the determinants of these matrices. In §5 we give some examples of quinternary quintics and in §6 we briefly discuss the relation between the 2nd Hessian of the Macaulay dual and the resultant of the quadratic binomials. We refer the reader to [5, Definition 3.75] or [3] for the definition of the 2nd Hessian.

2 The normal form of a quadratic vector space

Definition 2.1. A binomial is a polynomial of the form $f = \alpha M + \beta N$, where M, N are distinct monomials in certain variables and α, β are some elements in a field.

Notation . We denote by $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K. We denote by R_λ the homogeneous space of degree λ of R.

Proposition 2.1. Let $V \subset R_2$ be an n-dimensional vector subspace of R_2. Then by a linear transformation of the variables we may choose a basis $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$ for V such that

$$f_i = x_i^2 + \boxed{\text{linear combination of square-free monomials}}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Proof. We induct on n. If $n = 1$, then the assertion is trivial. Assume that $n > 1$. Suppose that V is spanned by

$$f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n.$$

Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be the matrix defined by

$$m_{ij} = \boxed{\text{the coefficient of } x_j^2 \text{ in } f_i}.$$

By a linear transformation of variables if necessary, we may assume that $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ are linearly independent by reduction $x_n = 0$. Then by the induction hypothesis we may assume that M takes the form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & * \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & * \\ * & * & \cdots & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

First assume $\det M \neq 0$. Define

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are a desired basis for V. Next assume that $\det M = 0$. This means that we may make the last row of M a zero vector after subtracting a linear combination of $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ from f_n. Consider the linear transformation of the variables

$$\begin{aligned} &x_i \mapsto x_i + \xi_i x_n, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \\ &x_n \mapsto x_n. \end{aligned}$$

With this transformation only the last column of M is affected and non-zero element appears as the (n, n) entry. (This is because f_n contains some square-free monomial with non-zero coefficient.) Thus we are in the situation as $\det M \neq 0$. □

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R_2$ are linearly independent. We will say that these elements are in a **normal form** as a basis for a quadratic vector space if

$$f_i = x_i^2 + \boxed{\text{linear combination of square-free monomials}}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Example 2.1. Consider $R = K[x, y, z]$, $V = \langle x^2, y^2, xy \rangle$. Then we have the matrix expression:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ y^2 \\ xy \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ y^2 \\ z^2 \\ xy \\ xz \\ yz \end{pmatrix}$$

Make the translation $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(3) : x \mapsto x + \xi z$, $y \mapsto y + \eta z$ and $z \mapsto z$. Then we have the isomorphism of vector spaces $V \cong \langle f, g, h \rangle$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \xi^2 & 0 & 2\xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \eta^2 & 0 & 0 & 2\eta \\ 0 & 0 & \xi\eta & 1 & \eta & \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ y^2 \\ z^2 \\ xy \\ xz \\ yz \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore we have the equality of vector spaces: $\langle f, g, h \rangle = \langle f', g', h' \rangle$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \\ h' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{-\xi}{\eta} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{-\eta}{\xi} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\xi\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \\ h \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the vector space V is transformed to $\langle f', g', h' \rangle$, where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f' \\ g' \\ h' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-\xi}{\eta} & \xi & \frac{-\xi^2}{\eta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{-\eta}{\xi} & \frac{-\eta^2}{\xi} & \eta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\xi\eta} & \frac{1}{\xi} & \frac{1}{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ y^2 \\ z^2 \\ xy \\ xz \\ yz \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that we have the isomorphism of the algebras $K[x, y, z]/(V) \cong K[x, y, z]/(f'g', h')$ with the elements f', g', h' are put in a normal form.

For a later purpose we prove some propositions in linear algebra.

Proposition 2.2. Let $A := \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\}$ and $B := \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N\}$ be two independent sets of variables. Suppose that P is an $N \times N$ square matrix satisfying the following conditions:

1. The ith row of P contains a_i and b_i as entries and all the other entries are zero.
2. Any column of P contains an element in A and an element in B.

Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) $\det P$ is an irreducible polynomial as an element in the polynomial ring

$$R := K[a_1, \ldots, a_N, b_1, \ldots, b_N].$$

(2) The matrix P is irreducible, i.e., does not split to blocks like $\begin{pmatrix} P_1 & O \\ O & P_2 \end{pmatrix}$ by permutation of rows and columns.

(3) $\det P = \pm(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_N + (-1)^{N+1} b_1 b_2 \cdots b_N)$.

Proof. Assume that P splits into blocks. Then obviously $\det P$ is reducible. This proves (1) implies (2). Assume that P is irreducible. Permuting the columns do not affect the condition of P. So we may assume that the diagonal entries of P are $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N)$. Furthermore we may conjugate P by a permutation matrix so that $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ are diagonal entries and elements of B are distributed in the super-diagonal entries and at the $(N, 1)$-position. This enables us to compute the determinant of P as (3). Thus we have proved

that (2) implies (3). It remains to show that (3) implies (1). In other words we have to show that $d := a_1 \cdots a_N \pm b_1 \cdots b_N$ is an irreducible polynomial in R. It is easy to see that we have the isomorphism

$$K[a_1, \ldots, a_N, b_1, \ldots, b_N]/(d, b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, \ldots, b_N - 1) \cong K[a_1, \ldots, a_N]/(a_1 \ldots a_N \pm 1),$$

and that this algebra is an integral domain of Krull dimension $N - 1$. This shows that

$$d, b_1 - 1, \ldots, b_N - 1$$

is a regular sequence in R and furthermore $R/(d)$ is an integral domain. □

In the next proposition we slightly weaken the conditions on P and prove similar properties.

Proposition 2.3. Let $A := \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\}$ and $B := \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N\}$ be independent sets of variables. Suppose that P is an $N \times N$ square matrix which satisfies the following conditions.

1. The ith row of M contains a_i and b_i as entries and all the other entries are zero.
2. Any column of M does not contain two elements in A.

Then we have:

(1) The variable a_i divides $\det P$ if a_i is the only non-zero element in the column that contains a_i.

(2) $\det P$ is independent of b_i if a_i is the only non-zero element in the column that contains a_i.

(3) $\det P$ factors into a product of a monomial in $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ and binomials of the form $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_r} \pm b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_r}$.

(4) If a binomial $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_r} \pm b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_r}$ is a factor of $\det P$, then we can arrange the order of the variables so that a_{i_j} and b_{i_j} are in the same row and a_{i_j} and $b_{i_{j-1}}$ are in the same column. (We let $b_{i_0} = b_{i_r}$.)

For proof we use a digraph associated to the matrix P as defined in the next Definition.

Definition 2.3. In the same notation of Proposition 2.3, we put $X = A \sqcup B$ and call it the set of vertices. We define the set E of arcs to be the union of the two sets

$$\{a_i \to b_j | a_i \text{ and } b_j \text{ are in the same row}\}$$

and

$$\{b_j \to a_i | \ b_j \text{ and } a_i \text{ are in the same column}\}.$$

We may call (X, E) the **digraph associated to** P. (Since we have assumed that ith row contains a_i and b_i, there is an arc $a_i \to b_j$ if and only if $i = j$.)

Suppose $\{a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \ldots, a_{j_r}\} \subset A$ and $\{b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, \ldots, b_{j_r}\} \subset B$ are subsets both consisting of r elements.

We call them a **circuit** of M if a_{j_i} and b_{j_i} are contained in one row and b_{j_i} and $a_{j_{i+1}}$ are in one column for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. (We let $a_{j_{r+1}} = a_{j_1}$.) A circuit will be represented as

$$a_{j_1} \to b_{j_1} \to a_{j_2} \to b_{j_2} \to \cdots \to a_{j_r} \to b_{j_r} \to a_{j_1}.$$

If we drop the last term from a circuit, we call it a **chain** of M. A **chain is maximal** if it cannot be embedded into a circuit or a longer chain.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. (1) Suppose that a_i is the only non-zero entry of a column. Then obviously a_i divides $\det P$.

(2) Recall that a_i and b_i are in the ith row of P. If a_i is the single non-zero element in a column, then we may make a column operation to clear b_i. Hence $\det P$ does not involve b_i.

(3) Let (X, E) be the digraph introduced in Definition 2.3. It is easy to see that (X, E) decomposes into the disjoint union of circuits and maximal chains. The first element in a maximal chain is an element of A as a single non-zero entry of the column. Indeed any element in B can be prepended by an element in A and in addition, an element in A cannot be prepended by an element of B if and only if it is a single non-zero entry of the column. If an element a_i appears in a row as a single non-zero element of the column, we have $\det P = a_i \det P'$, where $\det P'$ is the matrix P with the row and column deleted that contains a_i. Thus it is enough to treat P in which all columns have two non-zero elements as well as rows. In this case (X, E) decomposes as a disjoint union of circuits. A circuit in (X, E) like

$$a^{(1)} \to b^{(1)} \to a^{(2)} \to b^{(2)} \to \cdots \to a^{(k-1)} \to b^{(k-1)} \to a^{(k)} \to b^{(k)} \to a^{(1)}.$$

gives us a binomial as a divisor of $\det P$. This completes the proof for (3).

(4) This follows immediately from (3).

□

Example 2.2.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & b_4 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_4 - b_3 b_4).$$

3 The quadratic binomial complete intersections

In this section we denote by $E = K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ the graded vector space spanned by the square-free monomials in the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ over a field K. As well as R_λ, we denote by $E_\lambda = K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle_\lambda$ the homogeneous space spanned by the square-free monomials of degree λ over K.

Definition 3.1. For all positive integers $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, we define the sets of monomials $M_1(\lambda)$, $M_2(\lambda)$, …, $M_n(\lambda)$ of degree λ as follows:

$$M_1(\lambda) = \{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} x_3^{\lambda_3} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = \lambda\},$$

$$M_2(\lambda) = \{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} x_3^{\lambda_3} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = \lambda, \lambda_1 < 2\},$$

$$M_3(\lambda) = \{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} x_3^{\lambda_3} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = \lambda, \lambda_1 < 2, \lambda_2 < 2\},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$M_n(\lambda) = \{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} x_3^{\lambda_3} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} | \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = \lambda, \lambda_1 < 2, \lambda_2 < 2, \cdots, \lambda_{n-1} < 2\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. (1) The span of $M_1(\lambda)$ is $K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]_\lambda$.

(2) $M_1(\lambda) \supset M_2(\lambda) \supset \cdots \supset M_{n-1}(\lambda) \supset M_n(\lambda)$.

(3) For all $\lambda \geq 1$, the set $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n M_j(\lambda) \otimes e_j$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $M_1(\lambda + 2) \setminus K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\rangle_{\lambda+2}$. ($\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a set of indeterminantes used to separate the monomials.)

(4) For all $\lambda \geq n-1$, the set $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n M_j(\lambda) \otimes e_j$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all the monomials in $R_{\lambda+2}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious.

(3) Consider the correspondence $\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n M_j(\lambda) \otimes e_j \to M_1(\lambda + 2)$ defined by $M_j(\lambda) \otimes e_j \ni m \otimes e_j \mapsto m x_j^2$. We can make the inverse map as follows. Let $m := x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{\lambda+2} \setminus K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle_{\lambda+2}$. Then some exponent λ_j is greater than 1. Let j be the smallest index such that $\lambda_j \geq 2$. Then we let $m \mapsto (m/x_j^2) \otimes e_j \in M_j(\lambda) \otimes e_j$.

(4) Since $\lambda \geq n-1$, we have $\lambda + 2 \geq n + 1$. For such degrees λ, the homogeneous part of $K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ does not exist. So (1) and (3) imply (4).

□

Remark 3.1. (1) We put $M_1(0) = \cdots = M_n(0) = \{1\}$.

(2) If $\lambda = 1$, then $M_1(\lambda) = \cdots = M_n(\lambda) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$.

(3) For all $\lambda \geq 1$, $M_j(\lambda)x_n \subset M_j(\lambda + 1)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. (This will play a crucial role in the proof of Proposition 4.2.)

Theorem 3.2. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K and $A = R/I$ a quadratic binomial complete intersection where the generators of I are put in a normal form. Then the set of square-free monomials are a basis of A.

Proof. We fix the generators for I as follows.

$$f_1 = a_1 x_1^2 + b_1 m_1,$$

$$f_2 = a_2 x_2^2 + b_2 m_2,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_n = a_n x_n^2 + b_n m_n.$$

(m_j is a square-free monomial.) First we assume that $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ are indeterminates. This means we work over $K = \pi(a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n)$, where π is a prime field.

Since the growth of the dimension of I_λ is the same as the monomial ideal $(x_1^2, x_2^2, \ldots, x_n^2)$, we have

$$\mu(\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda-2} I) = \dim_K I_\lambda = \dim_K R_\lambda - \binom{n}{\lambda}.$$

(μ denotes the number of generators of an ideal.) Put $N := \mu(\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda-2} I)$. We want to specify N polynomials in $\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda-2} I$ suitable for our purpose. For a minimal set of generators for $\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda-2} I$ we can choose the set of polynomials

$$S := M_1(\lambda - 2) f_1 \cup M_2(\lambda - 2) f_2 \cup \cdots \cup M_{n-1}(\lambda - 2) f_{n-1} \cup M_n(\lambda - 2) f_n.$$

Note that these unions are in fact disjoint unions and furthermore these elements are linearly independent. To see this set $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_n = 0$. Then one sees easily that S contains all

the monomials in $(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2) \cap R_\lambda$. These are $\dim_K R_\lambda - \binom{n}{\lambda}$ in number. On the other hand, the number of elements $|S|$ can be as large as this number only if the union is the disjoint union. If we drop the condition $b_1 = \cdots = b_n = 0$, the linear independence should be easier to prove. We rewrite the set S as $S = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_N\}$. Index the monomials in R_λ in such a way that the last $\binom{n}{\lambda}$ are square-free monomials. Recall that $\dim_K(I_\lambda) + \binom{n}{\lambda} = \dim R_\lambda$. Let $C' = (c_{ij})$ be the matrix consisting of the coefficients of the polynomials in S. So C' satisfies the following equality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_N \end{pmatrix} = C' \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \\ w_{N+1} \\ \vdots \\ w_{N'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{N'}$ are all the monomials in R_λ and $N' = N + \binom{n}{\lambda}$. Let C be the submatrix of C' consisting of rows $1, 2, \ldots, N$ and columns $1, 2, \ldots, N$. By Theorem 4.3, which we prove in the next section, we have $\det C \neq 0$. Define the polynomials $g_1', g_2', \ldots, g_N'$ as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1' \\ g_2' \\ \vdots \\ g_N' \end{pmatrix} = C^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_N \end{pmatrix} = C^{-1} C' \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_{N'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix notation shows that

$$g_k' - w_k = \boxed{\text{linear combination of square-free monomials}}$$

for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Since the elements $g_1', \ldots, g_N'$ are a K-basis for I_λ, it follows that any element of R_λ can be expressed, mod I_λ, as a linear combination of square-free monomials of degree λ. Now the proof is complete for the generic case. Next we assume R is the polynomial ring over an arbitrary field K. Suppose that I is an ideal of R obtained by substituting elements of K for the variables a_i, b_i. The ideal I is a complete intersection if and only if the resultant is non-zero.

Note that we have established a rewriting rule which assigns any monomial $m \in R_\lambda$ mod I to a linear combination of square-free monomials in R_λ, for every $\lambda = 2, \ldots, n+1$.

For each $\lambda \geq 2$, we have used the matrices C' and C. So we index them as $C'(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda)$, $\lambda = 2, 3, \ldots, n+1$. Define the matrix $C'(2)$ as the coefficient matrix for $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ and $C(2)$ is the first $n \times n$ submatrix of $C'(2)$, which is automatically the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$. By Theorem 4.3, if the resultant is non-zero, then it implies all $C(3), C(4), \ldots, C(n+1)$ are invertible. Hence the proof is complete. □

Remark 3.2.

1. It seems conceivable that any quadratic complete intersection defined by quadrics put in a normal form can have the set of square-free monomials as a vector space basis. Theorem 3.2 should be regarded as a case where this can be verified.

2. If each of the quadrics f_i is a product of linear forms, the elements f_i are in a normal form if we adopt the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ as linear factors of $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ respectively. Abedelfatah [1] proved that the Artinian algebra defined by such forms can have the square-free monomials as a vector space basis.

Remark 3.3. In Notation 3.1 we introduced the sets of monomials $M_1(\lambda), M_2(\lambda), \ldots, M_n(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \geq 1$ and used them to define the set S in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Suppose that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1' & 2' & \cdots & n' \end{pmatrix}$$

is a permutation of the indices. If we use the order

$$1' < 2' < \cdots < n',$$

for the definition of $M_i(\lambda)$, instead of the natural order

$$1 < 2 < \cdots < n,$$

we have the different sets of monomials

$$M'_1(\lambda), M'_2(\lambda), \ldots, M'_n(\lambda).$$

The flag of subspaces

$$M'_1(\lambda) \supset M'_2(\lambda) \supset \cdots \supset M'_n(\lambda)$$

is different from

$$M_1(\lambda) \supset M_2(\lambda) \supset \cdots \supset M_n(\lambda).$$

In this case we should adopt the set S as

$$S' = M'_1(\lambda - 2)f_{1'} \cup M'_2(\lambda - 2)f_{2'} \cup \cdots \cup M'_n(\lambda - 2)f_{n'}.$$

The consideration of the set S' is important in the definition of the resultant of $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ for the binomial complete intersection. See the proof of Theorem 4.3(4). $M'_k(\lambda)$ should not be confused with $M_{k'}(\lambda)$. It is important that $M'_k(\lambda - 2)f_{k'}$ contains the polynomial $x_{k'}^{\lambda-2} f_{k'}$ for all k.

4 Some remarks on the coefficient matrices of generic complete intersections

We work with the *generic* complete intersection generated by $f_i = a_i x_i^2 + b_i m_i$, where m_i is a square free monomial of degree 2. Recall that we have defined the matrices

$$C'(\lambda) \text{ and } C(\lambda)$$

for

$$\lambda = 2, 3, \ldots, n + 1.$$

To define them we used the subsets $M_i(\lambda) \subset R_\lambda$ of monomials for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ for all $\lambda = 2, 3, \ldots, n + 1$.

Actually it is possible to define these sets for all $\lambda > n + 1$, although we do not need them. From now on $C(\lambda)$ are defined for all $\lambda \geq 2$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. The matrices $C(\lambda)$ and $C'(\lambda)$ have the following property.

(1) $C(\lambda)$ is a square matrix of size $\dim_K R_\lambda - \binom{n}{\lambda}$.

(2) Each row of $C'(\lambda)$ contains exactly one element in the set A and one element in B.

(3) Each row of $C(\lambda)$ contains exactly one element in the set A and at most one element in B.

(4) A column of $C(\lambda)$ contains exactly one element in the set A. (It may contain none of or many of the elements in B.)

(5) For any i and $\lambda \geq 2$, a_i divides $\det C(\lambda)$.

Proof. (1) was proved earlier. Recall that a row of the matrix $C'(\lambda)$ is defined as the coefficients of $w_k f_i$ for some i with some monomial w_k of degree $\lambda - 2$. This proves (2). Recall that the last $\binom{n}{\lambda}$ columns of $C'(\lambda)$ are indexed by square-free monomials. On the other hand a_i can appear only in the columns indexed by monomials which are divisible by x_i^2 for some i. This proves (3). Suppose that a_i appears in a column indexed by a monomial w with $w = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$. It implies that

$$\lambda_1 < 2, \lambda_2 < 2, \cdots, \lambda_{i-1} < 2 \leq \lambda_i.$$

Hence a_k cannot appear in this column if $k \neq i$. This proves (4). The column of $C(\lambda)$ indexed by x_i^{λ}, regarded as a monomial, contains a_i and all other entries are 0. This proves (5). □

We define a circuit in $C(\lambda)$ in the same way as P considered in Proposition 2.3. Namely, a **circuit** in $C(\lambda)$ is a sequence of elements in $A \sqcup B$

$$a^{(1)} \to b^{(1)} \to a^{(2)} \to b^{(2)} \to \cdots \to a^{(r)} \to b^{(r)} \to a^{(1)}$$

such that $a^{(k)}$ and $b^{(k)}$ are in the same row and $b^{(k)}$ and $a^{(k+1)}$ and are in the same column of $C(\lambda)$. (The matrix $C(\lambda)$ differs from P in that the same element occurs in different rows. Thus the repetition may exit in a circuit.) It is easy to see that if there is a circuit in $C(\lambda)$, it gives us a binomial

$$a_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}} a_{i_2}^{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdots a_{i_r}^{\alpha_{i_r}} \pm b_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}} b_{i_2}^{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdots b_{i_r}^{\alpha_{i_r}}.$$

as a factor in the determinant of $C(\lambda)$. We will say that **two circuits are the same** if they give the same determinant. Note that a submatrix of $C(\lambda)$ whose determinant is a binomial is another name for a circuit. In this sense $C(\lambda)$ and $C(\lambda')$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, can have the same circuit.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that a circuit exists in $C(\lambda)$. Then $C(\lambda + 1)$ contains the same circuit.

Proof. Recall that the columns of $C(\lambda)$ are indexed by certain monomials. By the definition of the elements $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_N\}$ to be the set S, we may index the rows of $C(\lambda)$ by the elements of $M_j(\lambda - 2) \otimes e_j$. If we multiply the elements (as indices) by x_n, they remain as indices for $C(\lambda + 1)$, since the multiplication by x_n does not change the exponents of monomials except the exponent of x_n itself. (See Remark 3.1(3).) Suppose that $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_r\}$ are indices of rows and $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_r\}$ are indices of columns which gives us a circuit of $C(\lambda)$. Then the submatrix of $C(\lambda + 1)$ consisting of rows and columns indexed by $\{U_1 x_n, U_2 x_n, \ldots, U_r x_n\}$ and $\{W_1 x_n, W_2 x_n, \ldots, W_r x_n\}$ gives us the same circuit in $C(\lambda + 1)$. This proves the assertion. □

We denote by $\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ the resultant of $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. The resultant is a polynomial in the variables of the coefficients $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$, but even after the coefficients are substituted for elements in K, we call it the resultant. The ideal I obtained by substitution is a complete intersection if and only if the resultant does not vanish. For details see Gelfand et. al [2].

Define $\Delta_\lambda := \det C(\lambda)$. Now we can prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.3. (0) $a_1a_2\cdots a_n \mid \Delta_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \geq 2$.

(1) $a_1a_2\cdots a_n \mid \mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n) \mid \Delta_{n+1}$.

(3) $\sqrt{\Delta_2} \mid \sqrt{\Delta_3} \mid \cdots \mid \sqrt{\Delta_{n+1}}$. ($\sqrt{\Delta}$ means that the exponents are replaced by 1 in the factorization of Δ.)

(4) A circuit that appears in some $\Delta(\lambda)$ is a factor of $\mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$.

(5) $\sqrt{\mathrm{Res}(f_1,f_2,\cdots,f_n)} = \sqrt{\Delta_{n+1}}$.

Proof. (0) This is proved in Lemma 4.1(5).

(1) It is easy to see that if we set a_i =0 for some i, the ideal $(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ cannot be a complete intersection. Therefore $a_1\cdots a_n$ divides $\mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$.

(2) See [2, p.429].

(3) It is easy to see that $\Delta_2 = a_1a_2\cdots a_n$. For $\lambda > 2$, it is also easy to see that a_i divides Δ_λ. Suppose that a binomial is a factor of Δ_λ. Then it is a factor of $\Delta_{\lambda+1}$ by Proposition 4.2.

(4) In §3 we constructed the sets $\{M_j(\lambda)\}$. They depend on the order of the indices $1 < 2 < \ldots < n$. Suppose that

$$\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1' & 2' & \cdots & n' \end{pmatrix}$$

is a permutation of indices. Then with the order $1' < 2' < \cdots < n'$ we can obtain another sequence of determinants:

$$\Delta_2^\sigma, \Delta_3^\sigma, \ldots, \Delta_{n+1}^\sigma.$$

It is known that the GCD of $\{\Delta_{n+1}^\sigma\}$, where σ runs over all cycles of length n

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ k & k+1 & \cdots & k-2 & k-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

gives us the resultant $\mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$. (See [2, 429].) Thus to prove the claim it suffices to show that if a circuit appears in one of Δ_λ, with $\lambda \leq n$, then that circuit also appears in Δ'_{n+1} which is obtained based on a permutation σ, whatever the permutation is. This is easy to see since $C'(n+1) = C(n+1)$ up to permutation of rows and columns, every circuit in $C'(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \leq n$ is contained in $C(n+1)$.

(5) Put $r = \mathrm{Res}(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$. By (2) we have $\sqrt{r} \mid \sqrt{\Delta n+1}$. A factor of Δ_{n+1} is either a factor of $a_1\cdots a_n$ or a circuit in $C(n+1)$. We have seen that each a_i divides r. On the other hand if a circuit divides $C(n+1)$, it divides r by (4). This completes the proof.

□

5 Some examples

In this section we set $K = \pi(a_1, \ldots, a_5, p_1, \ldots, p_5)$, the rational function field over the prime field π, and $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_5]$. By $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we denote the cyclic permutation of the indices. If $f \in R$, we denote by f^σ the polynomial obtained from f by substituting the indices i by $\sigma(i)$. In Example 5.1, the polynomials f_i are determined by f_1 by the rule $f_i = f_{i-1}^\sigma$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Example 5.1.

1. If $f_1 = a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_1x_2$, we have $\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = (a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5)^{14}(a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5 + p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5)$
2. If $f_1 = a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_3$, $\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = (a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5)^5(a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5 + p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5)^{11}$
3. If $f_1 = a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_5$, $\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = (a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5)^{11}(a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5 + p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5)^5$

There are 10 square free monomials in R_5. In all cases the resultant is one of the above three types. It is known that the resultant is a polynomial of degree 80. If all f_j factor into two linear forms, the resultant can be computed by [2, Chapter 13, Propsotion 1.3]. This was also computed by Abedelfatah [1] without referring to the resultant.

In the following table α, β are the integers such that

$$\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = (a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5)^\alpha(a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5 + p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5)^\beta.$$

monomial in f_1	f_1	α	β
x_1x_2	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_1x_2$	15	1
x_1x_3	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_1x_3$	15	1
x_1x_4	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_1x_4$	15	1
x_1x_5	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_1x_5$	15	1
x_2x_3	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_3$	5	11
x_2x_4	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_4$	5	11
x_2x_5	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_5$	11	5
x_3x_4	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_3x_4$	11	5
x_3x_5	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_3x_5$	5	11
x_4x_5	$a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_4x_5$	5	11

Example 5.2. In this example we chose the square-free monomials rather randomly.

Put

$$f_1 = a_1x_1^2 + p_1x_2x_3,$$
$$f_2 = a_2x_2^2 + p_2x_3x_5,$$
$$f_3 = a_3x_3^2 + p_3x_4x_5,$$
$$f_4 = a_4x_4^2 + p_4x_1x_3,$$
$$f_5 = a_5x_5^2 + p_5x_1x_2.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Res}(f_1, \ldots, f_5) = a_1^9a_2^8a_3^6a_4^{11}a_5^7(a_1^7a_2^8a_3^{10}a_4^5a_5^9 + p_1^7p_2^8p_3^{10}p_4^5p_5^9).$$

6 Relevance to the 2nd Hessian

Example 6.1. Let K and R be the same as in the previous section. We use the notation $v = x_1, w = x_2, \ldots, z = x_5$ interchangeably. We consider the polynomial

$$G = 120vwxyz + s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 &= -(p_1^3p_3p_4v^5 + p_2^3p_4p_5w^5 + p_1p_3^3p_5x^5 + p_1p_2p_4^3y^5 + p_2p_3p_5^3z^5),\\
s_2 &= -20(p_1v^3wz + p_2vw^3x + p_3wx^3y + p_4xy^3z + p_5vyz^3),\\
s_3 &= 20(p_1^2p_3p_4v^3xy + p_2^2p_4p_5w^3yz + p_1p_3^2p_5vx^3z + p_1p_2p_4^2vwy^3 + p_2p_3p_5^2wxz^3),\\
s_4 &= 30(p_1p_3v^2wx^2 + p_2p_4w^2xy^2 + p_3p_5x^2yz^2 + p_1p_4v^2y^2z + p_2p_5vw^2z^2),\\
s_5 &= -30(p_1p_2p_4v^2w^2y + p_2p_3p_5w^2x^2z + p_1p_3p_4vx^2y^2 + p_2p_4p_5wy^2z^2 + p_1p_3p_5v^2xz^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We consider G as a polynomial in the polynomial ring $R = K[v, w, x, y, z]$. G was obtained as the Macaulay dual generator of the complete intersection $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= v^2 + p_1wz,\\
f_2 &= w^2 + p_2xv,\\
f_3 &= x^2 + p_3yw,\\
f_4 &= y^2 + p_4zx,\\
f_5 &= z^2 + p_5vw.
\end{aligned}$$

As we said in the introduction, the resultant of these elements is

$$(p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 1)^5.$$

(The polynomial G was computed by the computer algebra system Mathematica [7].)

It is not difficult to verify that $\mathrm{Ann}_R(F) \supset (f_1, \ldots, f_5)$. If $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 1 \neq 0$, then since $\mathrm{Ann}_R G$ is a Gorenstein ideal containing $f_1, \ldots, f_5$, it follows that they coinside: $\mathrm{Ann}_R(G) = (f_1, \ldots, f_5)$ and $A := K[v, w, x, y, z]/\mathrm{Ann}_R(G)$ has the Hilbert function (1 5 10 10 5 1).

If $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5+1 = 0$, then we can calculate that the algebra $A = K[v, w, x, y, z]/\mathrm{Ann}_R(G)$ has the Hilbert function (1 5 5 5 5 1).

Since we know that the square free monomials are linearly independent, the second Hessian matrix of G is, in this case, computed as the 10×10 matrix

$$H^2(G) = \left(\frac{\partial^4(G)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial_k \partial_l}\right)_{(1\leq i<j\leq 5),(1\leq k<l\leq 5)}.$$

For details of higher Hessians, see [3]. Let $\mathrm{hess}^2(G)$ be the determinant of $H^2(G)$. It is a polynomial in $v, \ldots, z, p_1, \ldots, p_5$. We may regard hess^2 as a polynomial in v, w, x, y, z with coefficients in $\pi[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5]$. Let P be the ideal in the polynomial ring $\pi[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5]$ generated by the coefficients of hess^2, where π is a prime field. It has many complicated generators but surprisingly enough, it turns out that the ideal P is a principal ideal generated by of $(1 + p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5)^5$. The computation was done also by Mathematica [7].

Example 6.2. Let F be the polynomial in the first paragraph of Introduction. F was in fact obtained as the Macaulay dual generator fo the complete intersection $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_5)$, where

$$f_1 = v^2 + p_1wx,$$

$$f_2 = w^2 + p_2xy,$$
$$f_3 = x^2 + p_3yz,$$
$$f_4 = y^2 + p_4vz,$$
$$f_5 = z^2 + p_5vw.$$

As in the previous example, let $H^2(F)$ be the second Hessian of F. Then the coefficient ideal in $K[p_1, \ldots, p_5]$ turns out to be the unit ideal. Hence the algebra $\pi[p_1, \ldots, p_5][v, w, x, y, z]/I$ gives a flat family of Artinian Gorenstein algebras over $K = \pi[p_1, \ldots, p_5]$. The fiber is a complete intersection if and only if $p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 + 1 \neq 0$, and otherwise it is a Gorenstein algebra defined by a 7-generated ideal. (This is a computational result.)

Acknowledgement

The third author would like to thank K. Yanagawa for a helpful conversation for quadratic binomial complete intersections.

References

[1] A. Abedelfatah, *On the Eisenbud–Green–Harris conjecture*, Proc. of AMS **143**, (2014), no. 1, 105–115.

[2] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kaplanov, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser, 1995.

[3] T. Maeno and J. Watanabe, *Lefschetz elements of Artinian Gorenstein algebras and Hessians of homogeneous polynomials*, Illinois J. Math. **53**, (2009), no.2, 591–603.

[4] C. McDaniel, *Some remarks on Watanabe's bold conjecture*, arXiv:1603.09401v1[math.AC](2016).

[5] T. Harima, T. Maeno, H. Morita, Y. Numata, A. Wachi, and J. Watanabe, The Lefschetz Properties, Springer Lecture Notes 2080, Springer-Verlag, 2013.

[6] T. Harima, A. Wachi and J. Watanabe, *The quadratic complete intersections associated with the symmetric group*, Illinois J. Math. **59** (2015), no. 1, 99-113.

[7] S. Wolfram, *Mathematica 10.4*, Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 10.4, Champaign, IL (2016).